Impacts of different environmentally differentiated truck charges on mileage, fleet composition and emissions in Germany and Sweden

Inge Vierth – VTI
Heike Schleussner – VTI


Abstract
During the last decade six central European countries (Germany, Austria, Czech Republic, Slovakia, Poland and Switzerland) have introduced distance-based network tolls for heavy trucks. In Sweden, Denmark and the Benelux states the time-based Eurovignette is applied since the 1990-ies. All charging systems include a differentiation according to emission class for CO, HC, NO\textsubscript{x}, PM and smoke, but the Eurovignette is not updated to the latest emission classes. The study addresses Sweden and Germany as representatives for the time-based and distance-based charging system. The German toll is much higher than the Eurovignette for all real journeys; in addition the German government subsidises the purchase of clean trucks - which implies larger incentives for German hauliers (than Swedish hauliers) to use cleaner trucks. As expected, the German fleet is cleaner than the Swedish fleet and the vehicle kilometres performed on German roads are cleaner than the vehicle kilometres performed on Swedish roads. There are spill over effects between the countries in the way that European hauliers have incentives to use their “cleanest trucks” in the countries that have introduced tolls differentiated by the latest emission class and their “dirtiest trucks” in the Eurovignette countries. The difference between the two groups of countries and the incentives to use the cleaner vehicles in the toll countries and the dirtier vehicles in the Eurovignette countries will increase as updates are planned for the tolls but not for the Eurovignette.
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1 Introduction

1.1 Background

According to the Eurovignette directive (1999/62/EC) the EU-memberstates may charge heavy goods vehicles for the use of certain infrastructures. Several member states (Austria since 2004, Germany since 2005, Czech Republic since 2007, Slovakia since 2010 and Poland since 2011) as well as Switzerland (since 2001) have introduced distance-based network tolls. In other countries (Belgium, Netherlands, Luxembourg, Denmark and Sweden) the time-based Eurovignette (fee) is applied since the 1990-ties. All charging systems include a differentiation according to emission classes (Euro classes) for CO, HC, NOₓ, PM and smoke.

1.2 Objective

The project is supposed to answer the question how the, by emission class differentiated, truck charges in Sweden respectively Germany influence fleet, mileage and emissions. The two countries represent the time-based Eurovignette system respectively the distance-based toll system. Our hypothesis is that the implementation of the by emission class differentiated tolls in several countries in Europe had impacts both inside and outside the respective countries.

1.3 Method

Official vehicle statistics and road transport statistics for recent years are analysed. For Germany the road toll statistics from the "Bundesamt für Güterverkehr" (BAG) are used as well. For Sweden data that have been applied in the Swedish Transport Administrations’ emission forecasts have been used in addition to the official statistics. Time series as long as they were available were used although not older than 2005.

The following chapter 2 summarises the EU-legislation concerning permissions to perform road freight transport, maximum emissions for heavy goods vehicles and road user charges (incl. implementation in Sweden and Germany). In chapter 3 it is shown how fleet, mileage and emissions in Sweden have developed since 2005. Chapter 4 includes the same information for Germany. In chapter 5 the figures for the two countries are compared. In chapter 6 general conclusions are drawn.
2 EU directives and their implementation

2.1 Permission to perform road freight transport

Most of the national and international road freight transport markets in Europe are deregulated. This means for international transports that hauliers that hold a licence (to perform road freight transport) in one EU-country are allowed to perform as well transports to, from and through other EU/EES-countries. There is a restriction for cabotage transports in the way that foreign hauliers (that carry out international transports) are only allowed to carry out up to three domestic transports per week in another EU-country, (Regulation/EC, No 1072/2009 of the European Parliament and the Council).

2.2 Emission classes for trucks

Emission classes (Euro classes) for heavy goods vehicles over 3.5 tonnes were defined for the first time in the directive 88/77/EG. CO, HC, NO, PM emissions and smoke is regulated.1 Euro 0 vehicles were registered before 1993.2 Directive 91/542/EG defined Euro 1 (which became mandatory for new vehicles in 1992/93) and Euro 2 (mandatory in 1995/96), Euro 3 (mandatory in 2000), Euro 4 (mandatory in 2005/2006) and Euro 53 (mandatory in 2008/2009), these classes are defined by directive 99/96/EG. Euro 6 will be compulsory from 1 September 2014, see Regulation (EC) No 715/2007. Usually trucks are on the market one or more years before they become mandatory.

The requirements, expressed in g/kWh are illustrated in Figure 1 except smoke4. From Euro 1 to Euro 6 carbon oxide emissions (CO) have been reduced by 67%, hydrocarbon emissions (HC) by 88%, nitrogen oxide emissions (NOx) by 95% and particulate matter emissions (PM) by 97%.

---

1 NOx is main initiator of the ozone-/summer smog in combination with HC and UV radiation of the sun. NOx is generated by cold starting of vehicles and especially during hot drive and stopping. CO evolves also from cold starting and causes cancer and asthma. Particulate matter emissions (PM) arise from cold starts but also from abrasion of the street and tires, they cause cancer as well.

2 We merged data for Euro 0 to Euro 1. We assume that data which were declared with unknown emission classes also belong to Euro 0 trucks which are registered before 1993. (BAG, 2012(a)). Furthermore we used the term Euro 0 to merge to old Swedish “Miljöklass” since no specific differentiation could be done. Euro 0 is also mentioned in the official rates of the Eurovignette.

3 For our following analyses we merged data for EEV (enhanced environmentally friendly vehicles) with the class of Euro 5 to keep it simple. EEV is a term used in the European emission standards for “clean vehicles” over 3.5 tonnes – concerning Euro 5 and 6.

4 Smoke is expressed in m⁻¹ and cannot be compared to the other emissions. There just requirements for Euro 3 (0,8 m⁻¹) and Euro 4 and 5 (0,55 m⁻¹)
2.3 Road user charges

According to the Eurovignette directive (1999/62/EC) it was possible to charge road vehicles over 12 tonnes for the use of certain infrastructures. Since 2006 (see directive 2006/38/EC) it is also possible to charge trucks with a total weight between 3.5 and 12 tonnes. The level of the toll has to be based on the actual infrastructure costs, i.e. the costs of building, maintaining and improving the road infrastructure concerned (directive 1999/62/EC, as amended by directive 2006/38/EC, last amended by directive 2006/103/EC). Different EU-states apply different charging systems; below we focus on the time-based Eurovignette that applies in Sweden today and the distance-based toll (“Lkw-Maut”) that is used in Germany.

In the following map it can be seen in which countries the Eurovignette (red) and the network wide toll (green) is applied. Beside these two charging systems there are other systems with tolls on specific roads or no road charges at all which we do not consider in this paper. It is noticed that especially countries with a higher amount of transit transports in central Europe introduced a road toll.

---

5 Vehicle means a motor vehicle or articulated vehicle combination intended exclusively for the carriage of goods by road and having a maximum permissible gross laden weight of not less than 12 tonnes.
The Eurovignette (for trucks over 12 tonnes) was applied from 1994 in Germany, Denmark and the Benelux states; Sweden joined the Eurovignette cooperation in 1998. Directive 1993/89/EWG was the basis for the Eurovignette; the directive was replaced by directive 1999/62/EG. Germany left the Eurovignette system in 2003.

In Sweden the “native” trucks are charged annually while the trucks registered in other countries can choose to pay annually, monthly, weekly or daily. The foreign trucks only have to pay when they use the Eurovignette network that comprises the European motorways E10, E12 and E14 and certain routes on E4, E22 and E65 and other motorways in Sweden, (Skatteverket, 2010). The tariff is differentiated by number of axles (1-3 axles and 4 or more axles) and emission classes (Euro 0, Euro 1 and Euro 2-6). Table 1 shows the annual tariffs in 2012.

Table 1: Annual Eurovignette tariff, 2012 (Eurovignette AGES, 2012)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emission class</th>
<th>1-3 axles</th>
<th>4 or more axles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Euro 0</td>
<td>€ 960</td>
<td>€ 1.550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro 1</td>
<td>€ 850</td>
<td>€ 1.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro 2-6</td>
<td>€ 750</td>
<td>€ 1.250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The maximum monthly and weekly tariffs are in proportion to the duration of the use made of the infrastructure. The daily user charge is equal for all vehicle categories and amounts to € 8.

**Toll in Germany**

When Germany left the Eurovignette system in August 2003 it was planned to introduce a distance-based charging system. Due to technical problems the introduction of the toll was postponed to 1 January 2005. This caused a loss of revenues of about € 2.9 billion for the German government (VCD, 2009) which is almost the same amount as the toll revenues in 2005 (BMVBS, 2012(a)).

Trucks heavier than 12 tonnes are charged on the German motorways and some major roads. The annual sum that is charged per truck is much higher with the toll system than with the Eurovignette that was applied before. According to a calculation in 2003 (Buchholz, 2003) the toll revenues would be about 6.5 times higher than the Eurovignette revenues. Assuming an annual mileage of 100 000 km and the current average toll of € 0.16 per km the toll revenues (and costs for the hauliers) would be about 12 times higher than the Eurovignette revenues.

The German government planned to compensate the German hauliers for the increased costs (caused by the toll) by reducing the fuel tax, (BMVBS, 2012(b)). This measure was rejected by the EU commission since foreign companies would have been discriminated. In 2003, the German government agreed on a “compensation package” of in total € 600 million per year. Since 1 January 2009 all measures in the package are implemented:

1. reduction of motor vehicle tax for heavy goods vehicles to the lowest legal volume (around € 150 million per year)
2. financial assistance programs for
   - a) incentives to purchase cleaner trucks (up to € 100 million per year),
   - b) support for security and environment (up to € 450 million per year) and
   - c) training and skills (up to € 90 million per year) (BMVBS, 2012(c))

The subsidies of cleaner trucks (see 2a above) are allowed by the European commission as they are so limited that they do not create any distortion of competition. (BAG, 2009). For more details to the financial assistance program for the creation of incentives to purchase cleaner heavy goods vehicles see section 4.1.

---

6 The Eurovignette directive does not allow applying time- and distance-based on the same infrastructure at the same time. “With the HGV toll, the Federal Government is pursuing the following objectives: infrastructure charging based on the "user pays" principle: HGV, in particular, impose high motorway maintenance and operation costs. A "40-tonner" places around 60,000 times more strain on the road surface than a passenger car; securing funding for the further upgrading and maintenance of the transport infrastructure; creating an incentive for an ecologically desirable shift towards rail and waterway-based freight transport and more efficient use of HGVs; promoting innovative technologies.” (BMVBS, 2012(b))

7 The amount of charged roads in Germany increased from about 12500 km to 13039 km after the introduction to be able to charge trucks that tried to avoid paying the road toll by using different roads. The total length of the German road network is 231000km.

8 € 16 000 toll revenues compared to € 1.250 Eurovignette revenues
The toll is differentiated by emission class and number of axles. In 2005 the average toll charges were about € 0,124 per vehicle km (between € 0,09 and € 0,14 per vehicle km). In 2007 the German Parliament increased the average toll to € 0,135 per vehicle km (€ 0,10- € 0,15 per vehicle km) and in 2009 to about € 0,16 per vehicle km (between € 0,141 and € 0,288 per vehicle km) which is the current rate. In 2013 another increase of the toll is planned. Trucks heavier than 12 tonnes are charged as can be seen in the next table.

Table 2: Current toll rates (€ per vehicle km), Germany (Toll-Collect, 2009)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Emission class</th>
<th>Number of axles(^9)</th>
<th>Toll rate per vehicle km</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Euro 5 ( incl. EEV(^{11}))</td>
<td>up to 3 4 or more</td>
<td>€ 0,141 € 0,155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Euro 4, Euro 3 with PMK 2, 3 or 4</td>
<td>up to 3 4 or more</td>
<td>€ 0,169 € 0,183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Euro 3 without PMK, Euro 2 with PMK 1, 2, 3 or 4</td>
<td>up to 3 4 or more</td>
<td>€ 0,190 € 0,204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Euro 2 without PMK, Euro 1 and vehicles not assigned to an emissions class</td>
<td>up to 3 4 or more</td>
<td>€ 0,274 € 0,288</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4 Impacts of the toll in Germany

The concern that the traffic would switch to the main roads which are not charged turned out to be unfounded since the costs for the lost time would be higher than actually paying the toll, (Deiters, Haneklaus, & Rodekohr, 2006). Another point the authors negate is the mode shift from road because of the lower quality of the rail transport services offered and capacity problems. A positive impact is the increase of efficiency and collaboration between companies. After the introduction of the toll in Germany the trucks lighter than 12 tonnes did not increase significantly, (KBA, 2011). There is a tendency to use hauliers with a more modern fleet.

2.5 Tolls in other countries

Six central European countries (Switzerland, Austria, Germany, Czech Republic, Slovakia and Poland), have introduced network wide tolls, (‘European Commission, 2012).

Trucks in Switzerland heavier than 3.5 tonnes are charged depending on the gross vehicle weight and emission class. The toll rate for Euro 4, 5 and 6 is about € 1,90/ vehicle- km, for Euro 3 about € 2,21/ vehicle- km and € 2,56/ vehicle-km for Euro 0, 1 and 2. (FDF, 2010). These high fees per emission class caused a significant change in the Swiss fleet. In 2006 51% of the new registered vehicles were equipped with Euro 5. (TRT - Trasporti e Territorio, 2008).

\(^9\) “PMK – particulate reduction classes are retrofit standards to reduce particulate emissions.” (Toll-Collect, 2009)

\(^10\) The number of axles changes depending if the trucks drives with or without a trailer

\(^11\) EEV = enhanced environmentally friendly vehicles
In Austria trucks over 3.5 tonnes are charged depending on the number of axles, road type (special fees in the mountains) and time of the day. From the beginning the toll did not depend on the emission class; but the differentiation was introduced in 1 January 2010. The current rate (since 1 January 2012) is between € 0,145/km and € 0,393/km. There are four tariff groups all depending on the number of axles; the “worse” group contains Euro 0-3 and the better groups are differentiated by Euro 5 and 6, (Go-Maut.at, 2012). Studies have shown that there were no major impacts on the renewal of the fleet caused by the toll that was not differentiated by emission class but there was a reduction of the empty transports, (TRT - Trasporti e Territorio, 2008).

Also in Czech Republic the toll depends on the emission class and the number of axles and has to be paid for trucks over 12 tonnes (since 2007). When the toll was introduced the average rate was about € 0,14/ km, after an extension to motorways it decreased to € 0,07/ km. Euro 0, 1 and 2 trucks have to pay about 30% more than Euro 3 and 4. Unfortunately there are no supporting data about the renewal of the fleet.

In July 2011 Poland implemented a national toll for all vehicles over 3.5 tonnes (not just trucks) based on the distance travelled on motorways and major highways. The toll rates for trucks are differentiated by weight and Euro class.

Since 1 January 2010 a distance-based road toll is levied in Slovakia. The toll is determined by total weight, type of road, number of axles and Euro class. Currently lorries between 3.5 and 12 tonnes have to pay in average € 0,087 per km on motorways depending on the emission class (Euro 0-2, Euro 3 or Euro 4-5) but not depending on the number of axles; on specifies sections of the first class roads they have to pay in average € 0,065 per km. Trucks over 12 tonnes have to pay depending on the number of axles and emission class: between € 0,193 and € 0,206 per km on motorways and between € 0,146 and € 0,153 on specified sections of the first class roads, (spoločnost, 2012)

Table 3 gives an overview of the toll rates in the six countries that have introduced network wide road tolls for trucks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Charged weight class</th>
<th>Average toll rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>&gt; 3.5 tonnes</td>
<td>€ 2.23/ km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>&gt; 3.5 tonnes</td>
<td>€ 0.269/ km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>&gt; 12 tonnes</td>
<td>€ 0.16/ km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>&gt; 12 tonnes</td>
<td>€ 0.07/ km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>3.5-12 tonnes</td>
<td>€ 0.072/ km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 12 tonnes</td>
<td>€ 0.096/ km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>3.5-12 tonnes</td>
<td>€ 0.076/ km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 12 tonnes</td>
<td>€ 0.175/ km</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3 Sweden

In Sweden the Eurovignette is levied since 1998 as explained earlier. Below we will show how the revenues, the fleet, the mileage and the emissions in Sweden have been developed since 2005/2006.

The Eurovignette revenues in Sweden are stable over the years as the fee has not been updated and as the number of trucks over 12 tonnes did not change much (see 3.1). The foreign trucks can pay the fee either in Sweden or in one of the four other Eurovignette countries\textsuperscript{12}, (Skatteverket, 2012). The share of revenues from foreign trucks was between 20\% (2010) and 34\% (2008). The exchange rate €/SEK influences the Eurovignette fees that are paid in SEK.

Table 4: Eurovignette revenues in Sweden 2006-2011 (million SEK) (Skatteverket, 2012).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenues (in million SEK)</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Swedish trucks</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign trucks</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>799</td>
<td>838</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>776</td>
<td>777</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1 Composition of fleet

Figure 7 shows heavy goods vehicles for the weight categories 3.5 -12 tonnes and heavier than 12 tonnes in Sweden.\textsuperscript{13} The share for the lightest category has decreased from 2005 (24\%) to 2011 (19\%). The share of trucks heavier than 12 tonnes was 81\% in 2011, (Yahya, 2012(a)).

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure3}
\caption{Swedish fleet of heavy goods vehicles (3.5-12 tonnes, > 12 tonnes) by emission class and weight, 2005 – 2011 (Yahya, 2012(a))}
\end{figure}

\textsuperscript{12} Sweden receives 17\% of the so called “Beltintäkter”.

\textsuperscript{13} The maximum weight of trucks in Sweden is 60 tonnes and in Germany 40 tonnes
Trucks between 3.5 and 12 tonnes have the highest share in Euro class 0-2. The share decreased from 2005 (80%) to 2011 (53%) but still has “the worst” emission standards. The share of Euro 4 vehicles (13%) and Euro 5 vehicles (13%) is with 26% in total in 2011 still small.

Trucks over 12 tonnes have a higher share of Euro 5. In 2011 27% of these trucks were equipped with this environmentally friendly emission class. In 2011 just 29% of these trucks were featured with Euro class 0-2. Generally larger vehicles (that pay Eurovignette) are “cleaner” than smaller vehicles.

If we look at the total fleet a significant improvement during the years can be seen. In 2005 68% of the total fleet was equipped with an emission class lower than Euro 3. The share of Euro 3 trucks decreased to 34% in 2011. About 66% of the total Swedish fleet in 2011 was registered with Euro class 3, 4 or 5 of which about 24% were featured with Euro 5, (Yahya, 2012(a)).

The fleet itself does not say anything about the mileage, therefore we analyse the mileage in the next section.

3.2 Mileage

In Figure 3 the mileage of Swedish and foreign trucks within Sweden for heavy goods vehicles between 2005 and 2011 can be seen distinguished by emission and weight class.

![Mileage graph](image)

Figure 4: Mileage (vehicle km) in Sweden with Swedish and foreign heavy duty vehicles 3.5- 12 tonnes and 12- 40 tonnes, 40- 60 tonnes  (Yahya, 2012(a))

14 Within the HBEFA-model (that is used to forecast the emissions) truck and trailer combinations are assumed with help of “transformation factors”.

13
The total mileage of Swedish and foreign trucks in 2011 was about 4.92 billion vehicle km.\textsuperscript{15} According to (Yahya, M-R, 2012(c)) about 14\% of the mileage is assumed to be carried out by foreign trucks. There are no data about the emission class of the foreign trucks in Sweden. As in (Yahya, 2012(a)) it is assumed that foreign and Swedish trucks have the same distribution of emission classes. The number of driven km of trucks heavier than 12 tonnes increased by nearly 10\% between 2005 and 2008, fall back to the 2005 years level in 2009 and increased again by about 4\%.

As expected it can be seen that more mileage in 2011 was carried out by cleaner trucks than in 2005. More than 90\% of the vehicle km in all weight categories in 2005 was performed by trucks featured with Euro class 0-3. In 2011 43\% of the mileage was carried out by trucks in all weight categories equipped with Euro 0-3. The share of Euro 0-2 trucks in the category 40 to 60 tonnes decreased from 2005 (43\%) about 33\% units to 2011 (10\%). Trucks between 12 and 40 tonnes performed 18\% with Euro 0, 1, 2 and 30\% with Euro 3 but also 32\% with Euro 5. The trend to carry out haulages with cleaner trucks is not confirmed to the same extent for trucks less than 12 tonnes.

Generally it can be observed that the mileage of the Swedish trucks is “cleaner” than the fleet. It can also be said the larger the trucks, the higher the mileage and the higher the share of clean vehicles. If we use the Euro class as an indicator for the age of the vehicles, we can further say that the older trucks are used less than the newer trucks. This aspect could be studied in more detail in a follow up study.

### 3.3 National and international transports

Below in Figure 4 we differentiate between the mileage of Swedish trucks performing national and international transports in Sweden (vehicles km of domestic transports and international transports on Swedish territory). The tendency of increased mileage with environmentally friendly trucks can be seen here as well.

\textsuperscript{15} The total mileage of the heavy goods vehicles used in the emission and forecast of the Swedish Transport Administration differs from the revised figures in Trafikanalys statistics, (Trafikanalys, 2012).
Looking at the mileage of Swedish trucks it becomes clear that the international transports are carried out by more environmentally friendly vehicles than the domestic transports. The difference in 2009 partly increased to 2011. It can be seen that in 2011 almost 30% of the vehicle km in Sweden were carried out with Euro 5 trucks while the share for the border crossing transports was 42%. The share of Euro 0-2 for international transports was already low in 2009.

The different Euro class compositions might be explained by the fact that the international transports are usually longer and that the newest (cleanest) vehicles are used for these trips. In addition, there are incentives given by the emission class differentiated tolls in other countries. It is difficult to estimate the contribution of these two factors as we only have information for the Swedish trucks and the international transports are not specified per country. It would be interesting to include the international transports that are carried out by foreign trucks (they make about 3/4 of the international transports to/from Sweden). We plan to perform econometric studies in this field.

### 3.4 Emissions

Moreover, we analysed the data for the truck kilometres and CO, HC, NO\textsubscript{x} and PM emissions in Sweden. We calculated an index with 2005 as base year. It can be seen that the HC-emissions decreased the most with about 50% between 2005 and 2011 this means about 980 tonnes of HC emissions could be saved because of the shift to more environmentally friendly trucks despite the slightly increased driving performance (see Figure 6) and the higher share of heavier trucks (see section 3.1). The NO\textsubscript{x} emissions were reduced about 30% (13 775 tonnes) and the PM emissions by 46% (440 tonnes).

---

\textsuperscript{16} No data for earlier years available
Figure 6: Development of the emissions (in tonnes) of heavy duty vehicles over 12 tonnes (Yahya, M-R, 2012(b))

CO emissions increase with the fuel consumption and driven km. According to (Yahya, M-R, 2012(b)) the fuel consumption per vehicle km does not differ significantly between Euro 1, Euro 2 and Euro 3; it increases a bit with Euro 4 and Euro 5. Heavier trucks consume of course more fuel than lighter trucks and the share of the heavier trucks has increased between 2005 and 2011. The trucks of the category 3.5-12 tonnes decreased by about 5% while the fleet of trucks over 12 tonnes increased by about 5%. This might be another reason for the increase of the fuel consumption.
4 Germany

In Germany the toll for trucks heavier than 12 tonnes differentiated by emission class is applied. The toll revenues in 2005 were about €2.86 billion. They increased by about 37% from 2005 to 2011 due to the increase of the average toll per vehicle km (see 2.3). The next rise is discussed for 2013. The mileage performed on the toll roads decreased by 3% from 2007 to 2011. In 2009 it decreased about by 11% but afterwards it increased again about by 9% compared to 2009. The revenues are used to finance the road infrastructure system in Germany. (BMVBS, 2012(a))

Table 5: Road toll revenues in Germany, 2005-2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenues in billion €</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.1 Subsidies for purchase of clean trucks

From 1 September 2007 to 30 September 2008 and from 1 February 2009 to 30 September 2009 the German government subsidized the purchase of new trucks over 12 tonnes with Euro 5 (including EEV), (Komission der Europäischen Gemeinschaft, 2008). The German government spent €100 million in the first period and increased this amount in the second period. In total the government gave financial assistance of about €220 million to modernize the German truck fleet. (Komission der Europäischen Gemeinschaft, 2008). Compared to the toll revenues in 2011 of about €4.5 billion from the truck toll the total subsidy of €220 million is about 5%.

Non-refundable grants of about €2.550 per truck (for large companies) up to €4.250 per truck (for small companies) were paid, (KfW, 2007). The subsidy corresponds to about 50% of the price difference between Euro 4 and Euro 5. Euro 4 does not have a great share in the German fleet. This could be explained by the subsidy and the insignificant price difference for Euro 4 and 5 and the almost simultaneous start of the production of those engines by the producers of commercial vehicles, (BGL, 2007).

In February 2010 the German government started to subsidise Euro 6 trucks over 12 tonnes; the subsidy was €2.500 to €3.000 per truck. Since March 2012 small firms can get a subsidy of €6.050, medium-sized firms of €4.950 and large firms of about €3.850 per Euro 6 truck, (KfW, 2012).

The subsidies that have been paid since 2007 can be seen in Table 6. It can be seen that just 70% of the budget of €220 million for 2007-2009 was used by

---

17 See Table 2.
18 According to Volvo the price difference between Euro 4 and Euro 5 is about €4.000-5.000. (Ekwall, 2012)
the companies to modernize their fleet. This might be explained by the economic depression in 2009.

Table 6: Subsidy for environmentally friendly vehicles in Germany 2007- 2012 (Schweickhardt, 2012)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of trucks</th>
<th>Volume (€)</th>
<th>Average subsidy (€ per truck)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Euro 5 (incl. EEV)</td>
<td>21 312</td>
<td>59 713 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Euro 5 (incl. EEV)</td>
<td>14 446</td>
<td>39 918 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Euro 5 (incl. EEV)</td>
<td>17 536</td>
<td>44 229 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Euro 6 (incl. EEV)</td>
<td>13 960</td>
<td>16 105 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Euro 6 (incl. EEV)</td>
<td>18 090</td>
<td>25 192 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 (until June)</td>
<td></td>
<td>4 663</td>
<td>19 194 000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On the one hand the toll could be a factor behind the increase of the environmentally friendly trucks in Germany but on the other hand also the subsidies of new clean trucks were an incentive. It is likely to assume that the subsidy was one of the initiator for the clean fleet in Germany and the road toll is more an initiator for a clean mileage which we examine in the next section. Econometric approaches and/or interviews would be needed to assess the impact of the subsidies of clean trucks in Germany.

4.2 Composition of fleet

Figure 6 shows the composition of the German fleet by weight and emission class in 2011/12. The share of German trucks between 3.5-12 tonnes is with 62% higher than the share of trucks between 12- 40 tonnes (38%).

In total the German fleet consists of 33% Euro 5 vehicles, 14% Euro 4 vehicles, 31% Euro 3 vehicles and about 22% Euro 1 and Euro 2 vehicles. Distinguishing between more and less than 12 tonnes it is obvious that the heavier trucks (that have to pay the toll since 2005 and that are subsidised since 2007) are cleaner. Almost 40% of trucks heavier than 12 tonnes are featured with Euro 5 and just 20% of the lighter vehicles. As for Sweden it would be interesting to study the importance of the life length of the trucks in more detail.

19 In 2011 there was an increase of registered trucks between 7,5-12 tonnes of about 2000 but also trucks >12 tonnes increased by 11000 vehicles. This increase is assumed to be more likely as a result of the depression in 2009 than a prevention to pay the Maut. (DVZ, 2012)
4.3 Mileage

The total mileage of German trucks (over 12 tonnes) was in 2011 about 28.07 billion km on the whole German road network, (KBA, 2012(b)). About 60% of the total vehicle kilometres was carried out on motorways and 40% on other roads (time series data will be added).

26.7 billion km were performed on German motorways and main roads where a toll had to be paid by German and foreign trucks. Of these 26.7 billion km 63% (16.9 billion km) were performed by German trucks and 37% by foreign trucks (BAG, 2012(b)).

Mileage by Euro class on all German roads

Figure 8 shows the number of trips and the mileage of the German trucks (over 12 tonnes) on the whole German road network in 2011. 44% of the trips were performed with Euro 5 trucks whereas 65% of the mileage was carried out with Euro 5 trucks. This shows that longer haulages are performed by the newer more environmentally friendly trucks. This can probably partly be explained by the distance-based road toll. A similar picture was shown for the national mileage (with tentatively short transport distances) versus international mileage (with tentatively long distances) of the Swedish trucks.
Mileage by Euro class on toll roads

In Figure 8 the total mileage of German and foreign trucks on German motorways and main roads from 2007 to 2011 can be seen. It is obvious that the share of the vehicle km with Euro 1 is negligibly small. As mentioned before Euro 4 does not have a great share in the German truck mileage; the share was constant around 10%. The trend to the increased use of Euro 5 vehicles can clearly be seen; in 2007 18% of the vehicle kilometres were performed by trucks with this emission class and four years later 70%.

Also comparing the total mileage of German and foreign trucks the same development towards a higher share of Euro 5 can be registered. The mileage of German trucks with Euro 5 was about 75% and the mileage of the foreign trucks about 60% in 2011. Generally German trucks are cleaner than foreign trucks.\textsuperscript{20}

\textsuperscript{20}A positive development of foreign trucks can be seen as well. In 2007 80% of the mileage was carried out by trucks with Euro 2 and Euro 3, four years later this share was just about 30%. Besides in 2007 12% were performed by Euro 5 trucks whereat this share of German trucks was about 21%.
When we compare the mileage of the German trucks (over 12 tonnes) by emission class on all roads in Germany and on the motorways in 2011 it can be seen that the mileage on motorways is as expected "cleaner" as the mileage on the whole road network. The mileage of all German trucks on the whole road network was in 2011 carried out by 65% with Euro 5 trucks, 9% with Euro 4 trucks, 22% with Euro 3 trucks and 5% with Euro 0-2 trucks.

Of the fleet of trucks heavier than 12 tonnes about 70% were equipped with Euro 5 and about 30% with Euro 0-3 whereas the mileage on motorways with German trucks heavier than 12 tonnes was about 75% carried out with Euro 5 trucks and under 20% Euro 3 trucks. See Table 7 below.

Table 7: Comparison mileage of German trucks >12 tonnes on German motorways and on all roads, 2011 (KBA, 2012(b), BAG, 2012(b))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle km on all roads by German trucks (12-40 tonnes)</th>
<th>Vehicle km on motorways by German trucks (12-40 tonnes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Euro 0, 1, 2</td>
<td>1 486 712 5,3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro 3</td>
<td>6 620 283 23,6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro 4</td>
<td>2 585 502 9,2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro 5</td>
<td>19 654 756 70,0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

21 The share of German trucks lighter than 12 tonnes performing on all roads is about 7.5% and 92.5% for heavier trucks.
22 Data of KBA are on all roads performed by German trucks. To be able to compare the two data sets we just used 92.5% of the mileage since this is the share of trucks heavier than 12 tonnes.
23 Data of BAG are particular statistics for the trucks toll. It contains trucks heavier than 12 tonnes on German motorways.
4.4 Emissions on toll roads

In the following we present the “emission index” that has been calculated in the German toll statistics for the trucks that pay toll. The index includes CO, HC, NO\textsubscript{x} and PM emissions and is given in g/kWh. It is calculated by the sum of the total permissible amount of pollutant emission classes multiplied with the total driven km in the selected motorway section with the respective vehicle emission classes and divided by the sum of the total driven km in the selected motorway section with the respective vehicle emission classes.

![Figure 10: Emission index of German, Swedish and all trucks in Germany in g/kWh, 2007-2011 (BAG, 2012(b))](image)

It can be seen that the mileage performed on the German toll toads by trucks from all countries has become “cleaner” since 2007 (when the statistics started). The German trucks have the lowest emission levels. The emissions of the Swedish trucks that use the German toll roads are higher than for the average for all trucks.
5 Comparison

5.1 Composition of fleet

One difference between the countries is a much higher share of trucks in the category 3.5 to 12 tonnes in Germany (2011:62%) than in Sweden (2011:19%). This is due to different regional and economic structures. The share of trucks over 12 tonnes is higher in Sweden (81%) than it is in Germany (38%).

The dominance of the Euro 5 vehicles in Germany (45%) compared to Sweden (27%) in Table 7 is striking. Sweden has a higher share of Euro 4 vehicles (16%) than Germany (10%). In Germany 55% of the fleet is equipped with Euro class 4 and Euro class 5 compared to 43% in Sweden. Consequently Sweden has also higher share of vehicles with Euro 0-2 (29%) than Germany (17%).

Table 8: Composition of the fleet in Germany (12-40 tonnes) and in Sweden (>12 tonnes) in 2011 (KBA, 2012(a)) (Yahya, 2012(a))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Germany</th>
<th>Sweden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Euro 0-2</td>
<td>16,7%</td>
<td>29,1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro 3</td>
<td>28,3%</td>
<td>27,8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro 4</td>
<td>10,4%</td>
<td>16,1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro 5</td>
<td>44,6%</td>
<td>27,1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The effect that the German fleet is “cleaner” can be explained by the fact that the German state has subsidised Euro 5 vehicles from September 2007 for about 1½ years (with a break of five months). This implies that the German hauliers had larger incentives than the Swedish hauliers to replace Euro 0-2 vehicles and to purchase Euro 5 vehicles instead of Euro 4 vehicles. This also means that they can reduce the toll that has to be paid per vehicle km by about 15% and the costs can be reduced by € 2,800 for 100,000 km on the toll roads. This advantage is only relevant for trucks over 12 tonnes that are obliged to pay road toll. The Swedish trucks have not been subsidised (and are not subsidised for the time being).

The following graph shows the total fleet by emission class and total weight from Germany and Sweden in 2011.

---

24 The maximum weight of trucks in Sweden is 60 tonnes and in Germany 40 tonnes.
The share of Euro 5 trucks (over 12 tonnes) is in Sweden about 15% units smaller than in Germany (45%). Euro 3 trucks over 12 tonnes have the same share of 28% in both countries.

In Germany the share of Euro 5 trucks in the category under 12 tonnes is 24% compared to 13% in Sweden. The influence of the road toll in combination with the subsidies for the cleaner trucks over 12 tonnes is obvious. There is not such a high incentive to replace the vehicles that do not have to pay the toll. The distance-based tolls have been “updated” to the latest emission classes and increased over the time. While the Eurovignette fees have not been “updated” and increased over the time.

5.2 Mileage

In Germany the mileage is mainly carried out by Euro 5 trucks since they are charged less per vehicle km on the motorways. In Sweden there is no such incentive since the differentiation of the Eurovignette is the same for trucks better than Euro 2. This shows the impact of the road toll system and the subsidies also for the mileage.

Comparing truck km on all roads in Germany and Sweden it can be said that there are similar tendencies but they are stronger in Germany. The trend to perform haulages with Euro 5 vehicles is much higher in Germany than in Sweden probably caused by the toll. In both countries the share of mileage with Euro 3 vehicles decreased while the trend towards Euro 5 generally increased.

Figure 12 shows the mileage of trucks over 12 tonnes in Germany on the motorways and in Sweden on all roads, it includes foreign and local trucks.
The share of Euro 5 in the Swedish mileage increased from 2007 to 2011 about 30%. In Germany the share of Euro 5 trucks increased by about 50%. While in Germany about 70% of the mileage in 2011 is made with Euro 5 vehicles, in Sweden it is just half of this share. The share of Euro 5 trucks over 12 tonnes in 2011 in the German fleet is 40% and in the Swedish fleet 27%. As expected, the structure of the Euro classes differs more for the mileage than for the fleet.

The average mileage for all trucks over 3.5 tonnes is in Sweden (60 908 km per truck) longer than in Germany (56 259 km per truck) in 2011 which might be explained by the different road network in Sweden and Germany, (Yahya, 2012(a)), (KBA, 2011).

5.3 Emissions

In this section we show how the CO, HC, NO\textsubscript{x}, and PM emissions in Sweden would have been if Sweden had the same distribution of Euro classes in the mileage as the German and foreign trucks on the German toll roads. We calculated emission factors by dividing the Swedish emissions (in tonnes) by the Swedish mileage in km of each Euro class. Furthermore, we assumed the same distribution of mileage by emission class in Sweden as in Germany. The total mileage per year is assumed to be constant. The new, by emission class adjusted, mileage is multiplied by the calculated emission factors so that we receive the Swedish emissions of CO, HC, NO\textsubscript{x} and PM in tonnes if the distribution of the Euro classes in Sweden would have been the same as on the German toll roads. In this way we are able to see how much emissions could be saved if Sweden had the same “cleaner” mileage as the trucks on German motorways.
An Index based on 2007 can be seen in the following figure. It shows how the real emissions in Sweden have developed and how much the emissions could have been reduced by using the German distribution of emission class. The emissions of CO are almost the same since we used the same mileage but NO\textsubscript{x}, PM and HC decreased with the German distribution of emission classes.

![Figure 13: Swedish emissions (solid line) and the reduction of the Swedish emission with German distribution of Emission class in the mileage (dashed line), 2007-2011, Index: 2007=100](image)

We have calculated the socio economic costs of the fact that the mileage in Sweden is performed with “less clean” trucks than on the German toll roads. As in (Vierth, o.a., 2008) it is assumed that 85% of the truck kilometers are performed in rural areas (and cause regional impacts) that 15% of the truck kilometers are performed in cities (and have local impacts). We apply two different approaches the value the emissions: 1) the unit values for HC, NO\textsubscript{x} and PM that are recommended in the Swedish CBA-guidelines, (Trafikverket, 2012)\textsuperscript{25} and 2) the values recommended for Sweden in the European Handbook on estimation of external costs in the transport sector, (CE Delft, 2008).

**Table 9: Calculated difference of costs for observed HC, NO\textsubscript{x}, and PM emissions in Sweden and hypothetical emissions based on distribution of Euro classes on German toll roads (in million SEK) 2007 to 2011**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Costs</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total (million SEK) based on Swedish recommendations (ASEK)</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (million SEK) based on European recommendations (IMPACT)</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9 shows that the costs caused by the HC, NO\textsubscript{x} and PM emissions of the heavy trucks in Sweden differ between the two approaches. The socio economic costs for the less clean mileage in Sweden (compared to the German toll roads) are calculated to be about € 130 respectively € 340 million in 2007 and increase to about € 250 respectively € 670 million in 2011. We will follow up this question in the next phase of the project.

\textsuperscript{25} We use the city of Falun as “representative” urban area.
6 Conclusions

The Euro classes that regulate CO, HC, NO\textsubscript{x} and PM-emissions and smoke for heavy goods vehicles (over 3.5 tonnes) have generated cleaner fleets and mileages all over Europe. From the statistics we can see that the improvement is larger for trucks over 12 tonnes than for trucks 3.5 to 12 tonnes.

Looking at the trucks over 12 tonnes the development towards cleaner vehicles is slower in Sweden where the time-based Eurovignette is levied than in Germany where a distance-based toll on motorways and main roads replaced the Eurovignette in 2005.

The implementation of the toll led to much higher costs for the hauliers that use the German motorways and some other major roads. The toll revenues in 2005 were approximately 6.5 times higher than the Eurovignette revenues. The toll (per vehicle kilometre) has increased by about 30% since 2005 and the number of vehicle kilometres has decreased by 3% from 2007 to 2011.

The annual Eurovignette fees and the costs for the hauliers in Sweden have been stable as the number of trucks in the fleet is almost constant over time. Recently the fee (that is given in €) has decreased due to the exchange rate SEK/€.

The German toll is differentiated by the latest Euro classes (2, 3, 4, 5) while the Eurovignette does not have different tariffs for the Euro class 2 or better. If the Eurovignette is paid daily (which is possible for foreign trucks) it is not differentiated by Euro class at all.

The German government subsidises the purchase of new “clean” trucks over 12 tonnes since 2007. The subsidy is about 50% of the difference of the purchase price between a Euro 4 and Euro 5 truck and gives advantages to the German hauliers when they use the toll roads. German hauliers do also have a benefit when they operate in other countries that have introduced trucks tolls that are differentiated by emission class. The European Commission allowed the subsidies of a maximum of € 100 million since it is assumed they do not distort the competition to a great extent.

The use of different charging systems and subsidies of clean trucks in Germany have contributed to different truck fleet compositions by emission class. In Germany 40% of the fleet is equipped with Euro 5 compared to 27% in Sweden. The difference is larger for the mileage. While in Germany about 70% of the vehicle kilometres with trucks heavier than 12 tonnes are carried out by Euro 5 vehicles in Sweden this share is about 35%.

If the Swedish mileage had the same distribution of Euro classes as on the German toll roads the emissions could be reduced up to 46%. According to our rough calculations the societal costs for the less clean mileage in Sweden causes costs that increase from year to year and amount to € 665 million in 2011.
The European road freight transport markets are deregulated, except of restrictions for cabotage, and the hauliers can perform transport services in other countries than their home country.

On the one hand hauliers have incentives to use their “cleanest trucks” in countries that have introduced network wide tolls that are differentiated by emission class. The transport statistics confirm that Swedish hauliers use cleaner trucks for international transports than for national transports. Hauliers have also incentives to use the newest cleanest vehicles for the long distance transports. We plan to use econometric approaches in further studies. Today six central European countries have implemented road tolls for trucks. These countries have higher incentives to charge trucks per driven kilometre as they have high shares of transit traffic.

On the other hand carriers have incentives to use their “dirtiest trucks” in the Eurovignette countries (Netherlands, Belgium, Luxemburg, Denmark and Sweden) with low timed based charges that have not been updated to the new emission classes.

Our different comparisons confirm, as expected, that the hauliers act according to business principles and adapt their decisions to the conditions and policies in different countries.

What happens next? It is discussed to increase the German toll in 2013. The Euro 6 standard becomes mandatory from 1 September 2014. The German government will continue to subsidise Euro 6 vehicles. The Eurovignette directive 2011/76/EU does not include an update of the Eurovignette fees.

This means the difference between the time based Eurovignette fee (in some EU-countries) and the distance based toll (in other countries) will increase. This might also imply that the incentives to use “cleaner vehicles” in the toll countries and “dirtier vehicles” in the Eurovignette countries will grow.

It is obvious that the Eurovignette is outdated and that there are spillover effects from the countries that have introduced network wide truck tolls. We are careful to recommend alternative solutions to the Eurovignette as we addressed only the emissions aspect in this study. A comprehensive study needs to include all external effects of the truck transports including their differentiation, organizational questions and also the impacts of completing subsidies.
7 Bibliography


CE Delft. (2008). Handbook on estimation of external costs in the transport sector - Produced within the study - Internalisation Measures and Policies for All External Cost of Transport - IMPACT. Delft: CE Delft (M Maibach; C Schreyer; D Sutter; H P van Essen; B H Boon; R Smokers; A Schroten; C Doll; B Pawlowska; M Bak).


The Centre for Transport Studies is a new research centre at KTH – cooperation between KTH, VTI, WSP Analysis & Strategy, Jönköping International Business School, Transport Analysis, Transport Administration, Vectura and VINNOVA. The research area includes cost-benefit analysis, sustainable transport systems, transport modelling, simulation, financing and organisation, interactions between the transport system and the regional economy, and travellers’ behaviour and valuations. The Centre is a ten-year project comprising almost 250 million SEK, not counting additional research grants. The centre employs around 20 full-time equivalents, in addition to the researchers at the partners funded in other ways, and has a joint location at KTH campus.